

History of Proposed SSI Funding Including Conference Committee Agreement

APPENDIX A

- Table 1: FY 2010-11 Summary of SSI Parameters
- Table 2: History of Proposed SSI Funding Including Conference Committee Agreement - COMMUNITY COLLEGES
- Table 3: History of Proposed SSI Funding Including Conference Committee Agreement - UNIVERSITY REGIONAL CAMPUSES
- Table 4: History of Proposed SSI Funding Including Conference Committee Agreement - UNIVERSITY MAIN CAMPUSES

TABLE 1: FY 2010-11 SSI Parameters - Am. Sub. H.B. 1, Conference Committee

Summary of FY 2009 Funding (by Sector and Program)

	SSI Formula	Tuition Subsidy	Access Challenge	Success Challenge	FY 2009 Sector Totals
Appropriation - Community Colleges	\$371,896,153	\$10,323,056	\$44,124,317	\$0	\$426,343,526
Appropriation - University Regional Campuses	\$114,248,686	\$5,201,020	\$12,947,977	\$0	\$132,397,684
Appropriation - University Main Campuses	\$1,296,820,908	\$44,475,924	\$3,923,764	\$49,138,530	\$1,394,359,127
Subtotal	\$1,782,965,747	\$60,000,000	\$60,996,059	\$49,138,530	\$1,953,100,336

Summary of Formula Inputs (by Sector)

	FY 2010 ¹	Annual % Change	FY 2011 ¹	Annual % Change
Appropriation - Community Colleges	\$451,413,305	5.88%	\$420,697,740	-6.80%
Appropriation - University Regional Campuses	\$138,630,198	4.71%	\$126,781,479	-8.55%
Appropriation - University Main Campuses	\$1,485,494,575	6.54%	\$1,364,180,778	-8.17%
Conference Committee Agreement Appropriation Total	\$2,075,538,077		\$1,911,659,997	

¹ The projected FY 2010 SSI allocations reflected above include the deferred payments that will be distributed to campuses in FY 2011. The projected FY 2011 SSI allocations reflected above do not include the deferred payments from FY 2010. See supplemental chart for deferred payment details.



Board of Regents

Ted Strickland, Governor
Eric D. Fingerhut, Chancellor

University System of Ohio

TABLE 2: History of Proposed SSI Funding Including Conference Committee Agreement
COMMUNITY COLLEGES

						Factors that Help Explain FY 2010 Funding Changes						Factors that Help Explain FY 2011 Funding Changes	
	Actual SSI FY 2009 ¹	Projected SSI FY 2010 February 2009	Projected SSI FY 2010 May 2009	Projected SSI FY 2010 ^{2,6} Conference Committee	% Change FY 2010 vs. FY 2009	Enrollments (Funded FTEs) ³	STEM, Technical and Other Course Incentive ⁴	Projected SSI FY 2011 February 2009	Projected SSI FY 2011 May 2009	Projected SSI FY 2011 ^{2,7} Conference Committee	% Change FY 2011 vs. FY 2010	Enrollments (Funded FTEs) ³	Student Success Points (+/-) ⁵
BELMONTTECH	\$5,589,939	\$5,626,643	\$5,933,586	\$5,933,586	6.15%	6.86%	-2.14%	\$5,699,036	\$6,369,688	\$5,659,635	-4.62%	8.23%	-0.74%
CENTRAL OHIO	\$9,555,072	\$10,268,209	\$10,800,209	\$10,800,209	13.03%	20.03%	12.36%	\$10,538,367	\$11,677,854	\$10,376,081	-3.93%	9.40%	-0.75%
CINCINNATI STATE	\$28,756,580	\$29,116,049	\$28,974,089	\$28,974,089	0.76%	-1.67%	-0.06%	\$29,671,026	\$29,519,070	\$26,228,472	-9.48%	2.22%	0.03%
CLARK STATE	\$8,822,705	\$9,901,581	\$9,665,161	\$9,665,161	9.55%	7.55%	4.34%	\$10,539,797	\$10,310,387	\$9,161,050	-5.22%	7.57%	-0.47%
COLUMBUS STATE	\$59,332,185	\$63,689,990	\$64,955,739	\$64,955,739	9.48%	14.43%	-1.11%	\$65,932,547	\$68,662,331	\$61,008,291	-6.08%	5.99%	0.42%
CUYAHOGA	\$62,910,636	\$63,478,580	\$63,828,685	\$63,828,685	1.46%	0.63%	-4.03%	\$65,416,097	\$66,943,233	\$59,480,827	-6.81%	3.96%	0.53%
EDISON STATE	\$7,020,233	\$7,247,135	\$7,514,122	\$7,514,122	7.04%	1.55%	6.05%	\$7,407,880	\$7,992,563	\$7,101,603	-5.49%	5.72%	0.99%
HOCKING	\$17,576,775	\$18,022,149	\$17,401,007	\$17,401,007	-1.00%	-0.92%	-6.96%	\$19,797,923	\$17,420,655	\$15,478,711	-11.05%	0.36%	0.37%
JAMES RHODES ST.	\$10,005,423	\$10,927,333	\$11,127,554	\$11,127,554	11.22%	20.71%	8.42%	\$10,925,341	\$11,630,858	\$10,334,324	-7.13%	5.64%	-0.92%
JEFFERSON	\$4,565,930	\$4,888,620	\$5,048,359	\$5,048,359	10.57%	8.93%	8.58%	\$4,924,884	\$5,222,058	\$4,639,936	-8.09%	3.03%	-0.07%
LAKELAND	\$19,985,132	\$21,666,363	\$21,688,678	\$21,688,678	8.52%	11.38%	6.37%	\$22,100,550	\$22,234,102	\$19,755,586	-8.91%	2.25%	0.15%
LORAIN COUNTY	\$25,516,062	\$27,830,280	\$27,792,918	\$27,792,918	8.92%	13.11%	4.71%	\$28,887,820	\$29,616,913	\$26,315,407	-5.32%	6.93%	0.04%
MARION TECH	\$5,541,640	\$5,723,151	\$5,956,331	\$5,956,331	7.48%	5.27%	3.38%	\$5,731,230	\$6,309,650	\$5,606,290	-5.88%	7.74%	-0.77%
NORTH CENTRAL	\$8,139,431	\$8,267,356	\$8,326,738	\$8,326,738	2.30%	1.83%	-2.23%	\$8,441,502	\$8,626,919	\$7,665,245	-7.94%	3.75%	-0.21%
NORTHWEST STATE	\$8,214,085	\$8,904,847	\$8,860,806	\$8,860,806	7.87%	5.90%	1.16%	\$9,534,147	\$9,484,488	\$8,427,217	-4.89%	6.01%	0.09%
OWENS STATE	\$44,207,729	\$45,208,538	\$45,371,668	\$45,371,668	2.63%	0.54%	0.93%	\$45,250,621	\$46,770,701	\$41,557,001	-8.41%	2.10%	-0.15%
RIO GRANDE	\$5,652,675	\$5,925,358	\$5,896,517	\$5,896,517	4.31%	-0.42%	4.09%	\$5,915,500	\$5,883,751	\$5,227,868	-11.34%	0.00%	-0.75%
SINCLAIR	\$48,848,947	\$49,355,352	\$50,772,913	\$50,772,913	3.94%	4.79%	-2.84%	\$51,106,504	\$54,064,338	\$48,037,589	-5.39%	6.79%	-0.08%
SOUTHERN STATE	\$6,684,036	\$6,957,327	\$7,062,247	\$7,062,247	5.66%	8.14%	5.67%	\$7,124,962	\$7,448,282	\$6,617,995	-6.29%	5.48%	0.28%
STARK STATE	\$21,104,124	\$23,925,161	\$24,691,634	\$24,691,634	17.00%	35.05%	-0.47%	\$24,708,380	\$26,726,805	\$23,747,471	-3.82%	9.75%	-1.09%
TERRA STATE	\$6,030,580	\$6,415,078	\$6,383,613	\$6,383,613	5.85%	2.89%	-4.20%	\$6,632,014	\$6,597,805	\$5,862,324	-8.17%	3.18%	0.05%
WASHINGTON STATE	\$6,621,243	\$6,750,794	\$6,717,979	\$6,717,979	1.46%	-2.28%	4.14%	\$6,818,139	\$6,794,543	\$6,037,130	-10.13%	-0.30%	-0.03%
ZANE STATE	\$5,662,365	\$6,317,413	\$6,642,752	\$6,642,752	17.31%	27.99%	-0.07%	\$6,373,798	\$7,171,070	\$6,371,685	-4.08%	8.74%	-0.25%
CC SUBTOTAL	\$426,343,526	\$446,413,305	\$451,413,305	\$451,413,305	5.88%	8.36%		\$459,478,064	\$473,478,064	\$420,697,740	-6.80%	4.98%	

¹ Projected subsidy earnings in FY 2009 include SSI and Access Challenge, after all reductions.

² Enrollments for FY 2009 and FY 2010 are projected and subject to change. Projected FTEs last updated in May 2009.

³ The scope of the FTE changes reflect campus wide totals and not the change in FTEs among and between models.

⁴ This column is not precise, but is meant to be representative of the relative impact on each school of these model changes. It is computed based on FY 2007 data. Funding for the community colleges in FY 2008 and FY 2009 incorporated the new model structure such that 50% of the change has been implemented.

⁵ The impact of funding progress towards a degree, or other positive learning outcomes, at Ohio's Community Colleges in FY 2011 is measured by comparing the projected earnings from a model that includes momentum points to an enrollment based formula, only. The percentage change in funding is reflected in both magnitude and direction.

⁶ The projected FY 2010 SSI allocations reflected above include the deferred payments that will be distributed to campuses in FY 2011. See supplemental chart for deferred payment details.

⁷ Per Am. Sub. H.B. 1, the Chancellor in consultation with public colleges and universities will establish a minimum threshold for which campuses will be protected against annual funding losses. The FY 2011 campus earnings reflected above are unadjusted and are preliminary formula allocations, only.

TABLE 3: History of Proposed SSI Funding Including Conference Committee Agreement
UNIVERSITY REGIONAL CAMPUSES

						Factors that Help Explain FY 2010 Funding Changes								Factors that Help Explain FY 2011 Funding Changes		
	Actual SSI FY 2009 ¹	Projected SSI FY 2010 ^{2,7} February 2009	Projected SSI FY 2010 ^{2,7} May 2009	Projected SSI FY 2010 ^{2,7} Conference Committee	% Change FY 2010 vs. FY 2009	Enrollments ³	Course Completion Success Factor ⁴	Success in Attracting & Retaining At-Risk Students ⁵	STEM, Technical and Other Course Incentive ⁶	Projected SSI FY 2011 February 2009	Projected SSI FY 2011 May 2009	Projected SSI FY 2011 ^{2,8} Conference Committee	% Change FY 2011 vs. FY 2010	Enrollments ³	Course Completion Success Factor ⁴	Success in Attracting & Retaining At-Risk Students ⁵
AGRICULTURAL	\$5,043,640	\$4,993,204	\$4,993,204	\$4,993,204	-1.00%	-9.67%	3.38%	0.75%	-0.11%	\$4,893,340	\$4,893,340	\$4,347,861	-12.92%	-0.80%	3.38%	0.70%
AKRON-WAYNE	\$4,035,085	\$4,217,020	\$4,242,937	\$4,242,937	5.15%	3.68%	5.67%	0.39%	4.50%	\$4,451,386	\$4,497,768	\$3,996,386	-5.81%	4.80%	5.67%	0.35%
BGSU-FIRELANDS	\$5,476,948	\$5,840,806	\$5,807,017	\$5,807,017	6.03%	9.49%	-1.35%	-0.02%	4.22%	\$6,164,175	\$6,086,860	\$5,408,335	-6.87%	4.92%	-1.35%	0.02%
KENT-ASHTABULA	\$4,060,628	\$4,033,594	\$4,041,164	\$4,041,164	-0.48%	2.37%	-0.78%	1.06%	2.39%	\$4,185,462	\$4,203,804	\$3,735,191	-7.57%	1.99%	-0.78%	1.03%
KENT-EAST LIVERPOOL	\$2,366,234	\$2,420,308	\$2,362,445	\$2,362,445	-0.16%	1.81%	3.75%	1.09%	3.84%	\$2,605,926	\$2,521,731	\$2,240,625	-5.16%	4.81%	3.75%	1.09%
KENT-GEAUGA	\$2,112,905	\$2,887,348	\$2,914,758	\$2,914,758	37.95%	40.72%	4.05%	-0.63%	-0.61%	\$2,999,164	\$3,070,940	\$2,728,611	-6.39%	3.12%	4.05%	-0.65%
KENT-SALEM	\$3,529,864	\$3,732,913	\$3,873,593	\$3,873,593	9.74%	1.19%	5.78%	0.12%	1.26%	\$3,815,166	\$4,106,932	\$3,649,118	-5.80%	5.52%	5.78%	0.12%
KENT-STARK	\$9,540,699	\$9,700,898	\$9,510,121	\$9,510,121	-0.32%	-0.80%	0.01%	-0.27%	5.93%	\$10,126,446	\$9,788,489	\$8,697,330	-8.55%	2.50%	0.01%	-0.27%
KENT-TRUMBULL	\$5,717,989	\$5,660,810	\$5,660,810	\$5,660,810	-1.00%	-2.57%	-0.84%	0.70%	1.56%	\$5,547,593	\$5,547,593	\$4,929,183	-12.92%	2.32%	-0.84%	0.70%
KENT-TUSCARAWAS	\$5,220,450	\$5,422,438	\$5,390,656	\$5,390,656	3.26%	4.16%	2.20%	0.59%	5.24%	\$5,717,706	\$5,666,982	\$5,035,263	-6.59%	2.54%	2.20%	0.59%
MIAMI-HAMILTON	\$7,892,598	\$7,841,022	\$8,206,355	\$8,206,355	3.98%	11.24%	-1.01%	-0.39%	4.87%	\$8,137,067	\$8,642,927	\$7,679,469	-6.42%	4.84%	-1.01%	-0.43%
MIAMI-MIDDLETOWN	\$7,250,497	\$7,177,992	\$7,177,992	\$7,177,992	-1.00%	-9.02%	-0.03%	-0.12%	5.31%	\$7,034,432	\$7,034,432	\$6,250,278	-12.92%	0.54%	-0.03%	-0.18%
OSU-LIMA	\$4,737,312	\$4,816,919	\$4,793,539	\$4,793,539	1.19%	7.60%	4.91%	-0.71%	5.60%	\$4,939,421	\$4,917,787	\$4,369,583	-8.84%	1.53%	4.91%	-0.72%
OSU-MANSFIELD	\$5,261,973	\$5,242,643	\$5,209,353	\$5,209,353	-1.00%	-2.01%	7.61%	-0.38%	7.51%	\$5,275,968	\$5,177,092	\$4,599,983	-11.70%	-2.17%	7.61%	-0.41%
OSU-MARION	\$5,231,862	\$5,493,274	\$5,542,647	\$5,542,647	5.94%	5.32%	3.97%	-0.53%	6.99%	\$5,609,648	\$5,662,052	\$5,030,883	-9.23%	0.34%	3.97%	-0.54%
OSU-NEWARK	\$6,990,500	\$8,279,418	\$7,993,595	\$7,993,595	14.35%	16.38%	2.89%	-0.42%	12.33%	\$8,633,976	\$7,886,078	\$7,006,988	-12.34%	-4.14%	2.89%	-0.47%
OU-CHILLICOTHE	\$5,975,077	\$6,097,752	\$6,157,966	\$6,157,966	3.06%	-4.01%	6.45%	0.40%	1.28%	\$6,370,533	\$6,663,675	\$5,920,851	-3.85%	6.86%	6.45%	0.38%
OU-EASTERN	\$3,150,049	\$3,118,549	\$3,118,549	\$3,118,549	-1.00%	-19.02%	3.75%	-0.78%	2.43%	\$3,056,178	\$3,056,178	\$2,715,494	-12.92%	-1.60%	3.75%	-0.74%
OU-LANCASTER	\$4,311,792	\$4,326,613	\$4,377,584	\$4,377,584	1.53%	5.47%	5.48%	0.01%	6.76%	\$4,552,907	\$4,707,302	\$4,182,562	-4.46%	6.39%	5.48%	-0.01%
OU-SOUTHERN	\$5,474,859	\$5,929,736	\$5,805,156	\$5,805,156	6.03%	-4.47%	3.04%	0.35%	6.25%	\$6,306,393	\$6,069,774	\$5,393,154	-7.10%	3.01%	3.04%	0.33%
OU-ZANESVILLE	\$6,083,758	\$6,777,532	\$6,752,773	\$6,752,773	11.00%	-6.75%	9.62%	-0.21%	7.00%	\$7,117,766	\$7,062,964	\$6,275,630	-7.07%	3.01%	9.62%	-0.23%
UC-CLERMONT	\$7,562,598	\$9,392,306	\$9,371,888	\$9,371,888	23.92%	19.74%	2.12%	0.43%	7.72%	\$9,934,488	\$9,933,760	\$8,826,407	-5.82%	6.44%	2.12%	0.49%
UC-WALTERS	\$12,592,888	\$12,466,959	\$12,466,959	\$12,466,959	-1.00%	1.03%	-1.07%	-0.08%	5.42%	\$12,475,914	\$12,456,452	\$11,067,886	-11.22%	2.51%	-1.07%	-0.02%
WRIGHT-LAKE	\$2,777,478	\$2,760,144	\$2,859,139	\$2,859,139	2.94%	-9.62%	8.54%	-0.88%	10.87%	\$2,736,302	\$3,032,446	\$2,694,408	-5.76%	7.32%	8.54%	-0.83%
Regional Campus Subtotal	\$132,397,684	\$138,630,198	\$138,630,198	\$138,630,198	4.71%	2.59%				\$142,687,358	\$142,687,358	\$126,781,479	-8.55%	2.77%		

¹ Projected subsidy earnings in FY 2009 include SSI and Access Challenge, after all reductions.
² Enrollments for FY 2009 and FY 2010 are projected and subject to change. Projected FTEs last updated in May 2009.
³ The scope of the FTE changes reflect campus wide totals and not the change in FTEs among and between models.
⁴ The course completion rates, as a percentage of course enrollments, are indexed to the sector wide course completion data and again do not reflect variances among and between models.

⁵ The impact of funding an institution's success in attracting and retaining at-risk students is measured by comparing the projected earnings from a model that weights successful course completions of OCOG eligible students to a course completion funding model, only. The percentage change in funding is reflected in both magnitude and direction.
⁶ This column is not precise, but is meant to be representative of the relative impact on each school of these model changes.
⁷ The projected FY 2010 SSI allocations reflected above include the deferred payments that will be distributed to campuses in FY 2011. See supplemental chart for deferred payment details.

⁸ Per Am. Sub. H.B. 1, the Chancellor in consultation with public colleges and universities will establish a minimum threshold for which campuses will be protected against annual funding losses. The FY 2011 campus earnings reflected above are unadjusted and are preliminary formula allocations, only.

TABLE 4: History of Proposed SSI Funding Including Conference Committee Agreement
UNIVERSITY MAIN CAMPUSES

						Factors that Help Explain FY 2010 Funding Changes						
	Actual SSI FY 2009 ¹	Projected SSI FY 2010 February 2009	Projected SSI FY 2010 May 2009	Projected SSI FY 2010 ^{2,9} Conference Committee	% Change FY 2010 vs. FY 2009	Enrollments ³	Course Completion Success Factor ⁴		Success in Attracting & Retaining At-Risk Students ⁵	Degree Attainment Success Factor ⁶	Success in Graduating At-Risk Students ⁷	STEM, Technical and Other Course Incentive ⁸
							Undergrad	Graduate				
AKRON	\$98,111,874	\$106,137,963	\$108,472,584	\$108,472,584	10.56%	10.42%	1.20%	4.90%	0.38%	-1.19%	0.03%	-0.33%
BOWLING GREEN	\$88,184,820	\$89,568,268	\$90,617,410	\$90,617,410	2.76%	-2.84%	5.26%	5.47%	-0.04%	0.58%	0.01%	1.26%
CENTRAL STATE	\$7,012,021	\$6,941,901	\$6,941,901	\$6,941,901	-1.00%	13.19%	-12.18%	-20.90%	3.85%	-0.45%	0.63%	3.50%
CINCINNATI	\$171,648,524	\$190,483,311	\$187,487,391	\$187,487,391	9.23%	5.47%	3.84%	6.88%	-0.06%	-0.46%	-0.11%	-1.99%
CLEVELAND STATE	\$75,879,452	\$76,852,761	\$78,777,785	\$78,777,785	3.82%	-4.15%	-1.88%	3.76%	-0.29%	-0.39%	0.05%	-0.44%
KENT STATE	\$104,677,884	\$108,158,206	\$108,785,148	\$108,785,148	3.92%	-2.75%	3.55%	3.98%	0.06%	0.93%	0.18%	2.30%
MIAMI	\$68,530,576	\$68,882,568	\$71,100,491	\$71,100,491	3.75%	-3.48%	9.21%	6.08%	-0.35%	2.58%	-0.10%	2.39%
NEOUCOM	\$11,697,378	\$15,361,614	\$14,573,849	\$14,573,849	24.59%	25.41%	16.55%	8.87%	-0.58%	-5.95%	-0.43%	2.01%
OHIO STATE	\$374,205,114	\$410,343,759	\$407,684,901	\$407,684,901	8.95%	4.81%	7.42%	4.65%	-0.23%	0.06%	-0.04%	-2.04%
OHIO UNIV	\$121,431,418	\$126,325,818	\$124,121,677	\$124,121,677	2.22%	-0.20%	8.12%	6.22%	-0.20%	0.67%	-0.01%	-1.88%
SHAWNEE ST.	\$14,764,154	\$14,747,139	\$14,906,375	\$14,906,375	0.96%	4.62%	-5.23%	8.95%	2.22%	-0.91%	0.35%	-1.92%
TOLEDO	\$120,366,175	\$130,664,505	\$129,300,703	\$129,300,703	7.42%	0.45%	1.22%	-16.73%	0.07%	-0.86%	-0.08%	2.67%
WRIGHT ST.	\$88,345,968	\$91,744,078	\$93,715,631	\$93,715,631	6.08%	2.44%	1.37%	1.56%	0.04%	-0.27%	0.01%	1.04%
YOUNGSTOWN ST.	\$49,503,767	\$49,282,683	\$49,008,729	\$49,008,729	-1.00%	3.43%	-7.94%	6.47%	0.88%	-0.64%	0.19%	-0.43%
University Main Campus Subtotal	\$1,394,359,127	\$1,485,494,574	\$1,485,494,575	\$1,485,494,575	6.54%	4.06%						

						Factors that Help Explain FY 2011 Funding Changes						
	Projected SSI FY 2010 ^{2,9} Conference Committee	Projected SSI FY 2011 February 2009	Projected SSI FY 2011 May 2009	Projected SSI FY 2011 ^{2,10} Conference Committee	% Change FY 2011 vs. FY 2010	Enrollments ³	Course Completion Success Factor ⁴		Success in Attracting & Retaining At-Risk Students ⁵	Degree Attainment Success Factor ⁶	Success in Graduating At-Risk Students ⁷	
							Undergrad	Graduate				
AKRON	\$108,472,584	\$107,259,240	\$110,167,855	\$101,170,932	-6.73%	4.75%	1.20%	4.90%	0.35%	-2.72%	0.02%	
BOWLING GREEN	\$90,617,410	\$88,851,052	\$89,023,522	\$81,753,363	-9.78%	-1.19%	5.26%	5.47%	-0.05%	1.52%	0.04%	
CENTRAL STATE	\$6,941,901	\$6,803,063	\$6,803,063	\$6,247,487	-10.00%	4.01%	-12.18%	-20.90%	3.59%	-1.14%	1.20%	
CINCINNATI	\$187,487,391	\$191,465,334	\$187,988,669	\$172,636,463	-7.92%	1.97%	3.84%	6.88%	-0.05%	-1.03%	-0.22%	
CLEVELAND STATE	\$78,777,785	\$76,490,788	\$81,503,363	\$74,847,342	-4.99%	2.57%	-1.88%	3.76%	-0.35%	-1.24%	0.07%	
KENT STATE	\$108,785,148	\$107,655,902	\$108,806,740	\$99,920,973	-8.15%	-1.20%	3.55%	3.98%	0.05%	2.00%	0.36%	
MIAMI	\$71,100,491	\$69,676,055	\$71,106,175	\$65,299,247	-8.16%	-0.99%	9.21%	6.08%	-0.32%	5.63%	-0.19%	
NEOUCOM	\$14,573,849	\$15,906,776	\$15,543,670	\$14,274,287	-2.06%	11.64%	16.55%	8.87%	-0.54%	-11.89%	-0.85%	
OHIO STATE	\$407,684,901	\$408,609,281	\$406,692,081	\$373,479,331	-8.39%	0.05%	7.42%	4.65%	-0.22%	0.17%	-0.07%	
OHIO UNIV	\$124,121,677	\$127,228,730	\$123,632,205	\$113,535,708	-8.53%	0.01%	8.12%	6.22%	-0.17%	1.57%	0.00%	
SHAWNEE ST.	\$14,906,375	\$15,276,916	\$15,364,929	\$14,110,143	-5.34%	8.22%	-5.23%	8.95%	2.17%	-2.24%	0.64%	
TOLEDO	\$129,300,703	\$128,051,215	\$127,028,409	\$116,654,559	-9.78%	-0.05%	1.22%	-16.73%	0.07%	-1.56%	-0.15%	
WRIGHT ST.	\$93,715,631	\$92,401,190	\$93,775,336	\$86,117,117	-8.11%	1.93%	1.37%	1.56%	0.05%	-0.56%	0.02%	
YOUNGSTOWN ST.	\$49,008,729	\$49,819,033	\$48,058,557	\$44,133,827	-9.95%	-0.16%	-7.94%	6.47%	0.83%	-1.11%	0.41%	
University Main Campus Subtotal	\$1,485,494,575	\$1,485,494,575	\$1,485,494,575	\$1,364,180,778	-8.17%	2.25%						

¹ Projected subsidy earnings in FY 2009 include SSI, Access and Success Challenge, after all reductions.

² Enrollments for FY 2009 and FY 2010 are projected and subject to change. Projected FTEs last updated in May 2009. Funding for the university main campuses includes three set asides for each of the following: (1) Doctoral Set Aside = 13.35% of appropriation; (2) Med 1 Set Aside = 1.67% of appropriation; and (3) Med 2 Set Aside = 721% of appropriation.

³ The scope of the FTE changes reflect campus wide totals and not the change in FTEs among and between models.

⁴ The course completion rates, as a percentage of course enrollments, are indexed to the sector wide course completion data and again do not reflect variances among and between models.

⁵ The impact of funding an institution's success in attracting and retaining at-risk students is measured by comparing the projected earnings from a model that weights successful course completions of OCOG eligible students to a course completion funding model, only. The percentage change in funding is reflected in both magnitude and direction.

⁶ The impact of funding degree attainment is measured by comparing the projected earnings from a model that includes a component for degree completion to a course completion funding model, only. The percentage change in funding is reflected in both magnitude and direction.

⁷ The impact of funding institution's success in graduating at-risk students is measured by comparing the projected earnings from a model that weights degrees earned by OCOG eligible student to a course completion funding model, only. The percentage change in funding is reflected in both magnitude and direction.

⁸ This column is not precise, but is meant to be representative of the relative impact on each school of these model changes.

⁹ The projected FY 2010 SSI allocations reflected above include the deferred payments that will be distributed to campuses in FY 2011. See supplemental chart for deferred payment details.

¹⁰ Per Am. Sub. H.B. 1, the Chancellor in consultation with public colleges and universities will establish a minimum threshold for which campuses will be protected against annual funding losses. The FY 2011 campus earnings reflected above are unadjusted and are preliminary formula allocations, only.